

The candidate is descriptive when writing about their teamwork rather than evaluative and does not evaluate what went well and what could have been improved upon.

This candidate tells the story of how they found their group and describes several meetings, but does not include any evaluation. The candidate is awarded 2 marks for AO2a.

Due to the first part of the paper being descriptive, the candidate does not give enough time to reflecting on what they have learnt. The candidate makes a relevant comment about camps for homelessness being more prevalent than we think, but this is more about their discussion as a team than a reflection on what they have learnt. The candidate is awarded 1 mark for AO2b.

Mark awarded for AO2a = 2 out of 10

Mark awarded for AO2b = 1 out of 10

**Total mark awarded = 3 out of 10**

**How the candidate could improve the answer:**

- The candidate needed to focus on various elements of their teamwork rather than just narrate what happened in the meetings. A good way to do this would be to explore the strengths and weaknesses of their communication, how effectively they used the meeting time or the support they gave each other when creating their presentations. The candidate should have also included how they could have improved their teamwork.
- The candidate should have given around half of their reflective paper to reflect on what they have learnt from their research and from their team members, rather than describing the meetings.